

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/988,181 12/10/97 OSHIMA 5 048708 **EXAMINER** QM32/0716 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS GORDON. 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON DC 20037 3711 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

07/16/01



共16

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/988,181

Applicant(s)

Oshima

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Art Unit

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —	
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep be considered timely. 	bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed onApr 27, 2	001
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	ion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expe	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>12-43</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>12-43</u>	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a☐ approved b)☐disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority.	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Cther:

Application/Control Number: 09/988,181 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Connell in view of Taylor. Connell discloses a box with pictures and written descriptions of the contents of the box. Although Connell discloses cigar information on the box a difference in what is attributed to printed matter does not provide a basis on which patentability may be predicted. Ex parte Breslow, 192 USPQ 431, U.S. Industries, Inc et al v. Ladd, 141 USPQ 376. Golf balls with different playing characteristics, as disclosed by Taylor, are usually sold in boxes having a cover. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to label a box with pictorial illustrations and descriptive text of each ball since such is a conventional technique for informing the golfer of the different golf balls.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,181 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4-24-01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Connell reference does not suggest providing a box with various performance characteristics of a golf ball. Connell does not provide information regarding golf balls but does disclose a box with characteristics of pipes. As previously stated, printed matter does not provide a basis for patentability. Both the present invention and the prior art provide boxes with indicia on the cover describing the contents. Applicant further argues that Connell merely provides general information concerning different types of pipes and the shapes. The Examiner disagrees. Connell clearly states the labels reveal the characteristics of a particular style of pipe or pipes contained in any given box or carton (lines 15-17). In regards to the Taylor reference, golf balls with different playing characteristics, as disclosed by Taylor, are usually sold in boxes having a cover. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to label a box with pictorial illustrations and descriptive text, as disclosed by Connell, of each ball or any other packaged item since such is a conventional technique for informing the consumer of the contents.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/988,181 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is (703) 308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternating Fridays from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on 703-308-1310. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg

July 10, 2001